

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,033	06/12/2006	Haim Bunin	3214/2	6887
75	90 11/28/2006		EXAMINER	
Mark M Friedman			JEANGLAUDE, JEAN BRUNER	
c/o Polkinghorn 9003 Florin Wa			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Upper Marlboro, MD 20772			2819	
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/552,033	BUNIN, HAIM			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jean B. Jeanglaude	2819			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju	ine 2006				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>41-82</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53-64</u> is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>42-52 and 67-78</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>10-03-06</u> is/are: a)  ☐ a	eccepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected to by th	e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) . Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/552,033

Art Unit: 2819

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details. The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Continuing Data

It is suggested to incorporate on page 1, line 1 of the specification the following:

Subtitle --- Continuing Data - and

Insert below the subtitle "Continuing Data" – This application is a 371 of PCT/IL04/00757, lined on August 18, 2004 which claims benefit of 60/496,326, filed on August 18, 2003 --.

#### **Drawings**

2. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in

compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 79 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. It is confusing and unclear to discuss the advantages in the claims, such as in claims 79, 80, 81.
- 6. Claim 82 is automatically rejected under 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> due to the fact that the independent claim is rejected under 112, 2<sup>nd</sup>.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Art Unit: 2819

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 8. Claims 41, 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Killion et al. (USPGPUB 2003/0091207).
- 9. Regarding claims 41, 66, Killion et al. discloses a high-performance apparatus(fig. 3) for data conversion comprising a conversion unit that includes at least two lower-performance converters (315, 317, fig. 3) each converter having at least one lower performance parameter than the high-performance apparatus for data conversion, the conversion unit operative to convert an input signal obtained in a time domain (paragraph 0009); a processing unit (307, fig. 3) coupled to the conversion unit and operative to process frequency domain information, operative to provide in combination with the conversion unit at least two processed signals (paragraph 0010); and a recombining unit (not shown)[the recombination is taken place in the processing unit) operative to combine the at least two processed signals into a single high-performance output signal (paragraphs 0012, 0013).
- 10. Regarding claim 79, Killion et al. discloses a method (fig. 3) for implementing a high-performance data converter comprising the steps of processing a time domain input signal into a frequency domain signal in a digital form using at least two data converters having each one lower performance parameter than the high performance converter in order to obtain at least two processed signals (paragraph 0009) and recombining the at least two processed signals to obtain a finial output signal from the high-performance converter, wherein the method provides higher performance and the other advantages vs. other data conversion methods (0010, 0012, 0013).

Application/Control Number: 10/552,033

**Art Unit: 2819** 

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. Claims 80, 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Killion et al. (USPGPUB 2003/0091207) in view of Pal (US Patent Number 6,353,629).

Regarding claims 80 and 81, Killion et al. discloses all the limitations as 13.

discussed above except the method in which the two converters includes using at least

two ADC in a filter bank arrangement. However, Pal, in a related field discloses a

method (figs. 3, 4) in which the two converters includes using at least two ADC in a

filter bank arrangement (col. 6, lines 48 – 56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Killion et al.'s

system with that of Pal in order to perform time domain equalization on modulated

signals to produce equalized digital signals.

### Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 53 – 64 are allowable.

15. Claims 42 - 52, 67 - 78 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/552,033

Art Unit: 2819

Conclusion

Page 6

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. (See PTO-892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jean B. Jeanglaude whose telephone number is 571-

272-1804. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 A. M. - 5:00

P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rexford Barnie can be reached on 571-272-7492. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ran Bruner glanslande Jean Bruner Jeanglaude

**Primary Examiner** 

November 20, 2006